

SAN MATEO COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Hall of Justice
400 County Center, Redwood City
(650) 363-4123



John L. Maltbie, Acting County Manager
Lee Thompson, County Counsel

Members

Stuart Forrest, Chair*
Chief Probation Officer

Adrienne Tissier
Board of Supervisors

Steve Wagstaffe*
District Attorney

Grace Nelson
District Attorney's
Office: Victims
Representative

Jean Fraser
Health System
Department

Beverly Johnson*
Human Services
Agency

Stephen Kaplan
Behavioral Health and
Recovery Services

John Joy
Human Services
Agency: Employment
Services

Beth Freeman*
Superior Court

John Digiacinto*
Private Defender

Susan Manheimer*
Chief, San Mateo
Police Department

Mike Nevin
Service League

Greg Munks*
Sheriff

Anne Campbell
County Office of
Education

*Executive Committee
Members

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP February 9, 2012, 2:00 pm – 5:00 pm 455 County Center, Room 101, Redwood City

MINUTES

1. **Call to Order**
Meeting was called to order.
2. **Public Comment**
Speakers recognized by the Chair
Jay Laefer, ACLU, North Peninsula Chapter
Martin Fox, Veterans Advocate
3. **ROLL CALL**
4. **OLD BUSINESS**
 - A. **On Partnership consensus, minutes for the 01/26/2012 CCP meeting were approved as submitted.**
5. **NEW BUSINESS**
 - A. **Resource Development Associates (RDA)**
Andy Riesenber/Mikaela Rabinowitz
 - Andy Riesenber moderated a discussion on the draft Local Implementation Plan (LIP)—the discussion focused primarily on expressed disagreements and/or concerns including budget related issues.
 - Fiscal Worksheets were distributed to aid in the decision-making discussion to develop a budget that supports the LIP.
 - Mr. Riesenber outlined three domains in which strategies would be implemented: 1) Systems-Level Strategies; 2) Post-Release Community Supervisee (PRCS) Strategies; 3) Locally-sentenced (1170(h)) Strategies for Individual Interventions.
 - John Maltbie commented that the LIP lacked a single point of entry that is based on an evidenced based model—the plan has four points of entry.
 - Mr. Maltbie stated that there needs to be discussion on an electronic data system to collect, monitor, track and evaluate participants in the program.
 - Mr. Riesenber announced that the public comment period had been extended due to the revised CCP meeting schedule.
 - John Beiers, County Counsel, stated that if it is the intent of the CCP to extend the public comment period, the Board of Supervisors (BOS) would like to review comments prior to making any decisions or recommendations.
 - John Joy suggested vocational rehabilitation services be added to the list.

- Beth Freeman emphasized that there has been debate on whether judges have the authority to modify sentences after it has been imposed—the plan should not rely entirely on the belief that modifiable is allowed.
- Supervisor Tissier expressed the importance of avoiding duplication of services, and emphasized the necessity of a coordinated multi-disciplinary effort.
- John Beiers suggested that the CCP look at the legal authority as it relates to separation of powers should the body move towards a model of having law enforcement involved in supervision including compliance checks and home visits.
- Stephen Kaplan suggested that the CCP clarify the functionality of the single point of entry.
- Andy Riesenbergh polled the CCP on the following questions:
 1. Do all supervisees get MDT's?
 2. When do MDT's start?
 3. How often does MDT's meet?
- Chief Forrest recommended that the budget and the LIP be submitted to the Board of Supervisors as separate documents at the same time.

Motion made and seconded. All ayes.

6. Adjournment