COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
455 County Center, Room 101, Redwood City
February 23, 2012

3:00 pm – 5:00 pm

AGENDA

I CALL TO ORDER
Stuart J. Forrest

II PUBLIC COMMENT

III ROLL CALL
Stuart J. Forrest

IV OLD BUSINESS
Stuart J. Forrest

A. Approval of the 02/09/2012 minutes (Action)
All

V NEW BUSINESS
Andy Riesenberg
Mikaela Rabinowitz

A. Resource Development Associates
(Information)
- Review of consensus items from 02/09/12 meeting
- Review items requiring further discussion
- Review of streamlined format for draft Local Implementation Plan (LIP)
- Review of on-line public comments on the 02/03/12 draft LIP
- Discussion of next steps and timeline

B. CCP Monthly Reports (Information)
(Attachments 1 – 3)
Probation
Sheriff
Health/HSA

VI ADJOURNMENT
Stuart J. Forrest
A COPY OF THE SAN MATEO COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP AGENDA PACKET IS AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, HALL OF JUSTICE, 400 COUNTY CENTER, 5TH FLOOR. THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT IS OPEN MONDAY THRU FRIDAY 8:00 A.M. – 12:00 AND – 1:00 – 5:00 P.M., SATURDAY AND SUNDAY – CLOSED.

MEETINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE TO PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. INDIVIDUALS WHO NEED SPECIAL ASSISTANCE OR A DISABILITY-RELATED MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION (INCLUDING AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES) TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, OR WHO HAVE A DISABILITY AND WISH TO REQUEST AN ALTERNATIVE FORMAT FOR THE AGENDA, MEETING NOTICE, AGENDA PACKET OR OTHER WRITINGS THAT MAY BE DISTRIBUTED AT THE MEETING, SHOULD CONTACT MELISSA WAGNER AT LEAST 72 HOURS BEFORE THE MEETING AT (650) 312-5219 or mwagner@smcgov.org. NOTIFICATION IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING WILL ENABLE THE COUNTY TO MAKE REASONABLE ARRANGEMENTS TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO THIS MEETING AND THE MATERIALS RELATED TO IT. ATTENDEES TO THIS MEETING ARE REMINDED THAT OTHER ATTENDEES MAY BE SENSITIVE TO VARIOUS CHEMICAL BASED PRODUCTS.

If you wish to speak to the Committee, please fill out a speaker’s slip. If you have anything that you wish distributed to the Committee and included in the official record, please hand it to the CCP Chair who will distribute the information.
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
February 9, 2012, 2:00 pm – 5:00 pm
455 County Center, Room 101, Redwood City

MINUTES

1. Call to Order
Meeting was called to order.

2. Public Comment
Speakers recognized by the Chair
Jay Laefer, ACLU, North Peninsula Chapter
Martin Fox, Veterans Advocate

3. ROLL CALL

4. OLD BUSINESS
   A. On Partnership consensus, minutes for the 01/26/2012 CCP meeting were approved as submitted.

5. NEW BUSINESS
   A. Resource Development Associates (RDA)
      Andy Riesenber/Mikaela Rabinowitz
      - Andy Riesenberg moderated a discussion on the draft Local Implementation Plan (LIP)—the discussion focused primarily on expressed disagreements and/or concerns including budget related issues.
      - Fiscal Worksheets were distributed to aid in the decision-making discussion to develop a budget that supports the LIP.
      - Mr. Riesenberg outlined three domains in which strategies would be implemented: 1) Systems-Level Strategies; 2) Post-Release Community Supervisee (PRCS) Strategies; 3) Locally-sentenced (1170(h)) Strategies for Individual Interventions.
      - John Maltbie commented that the LIP lacked a single point of entry that is based on an evidenced based model—the plan has four points of entry.
      - Mr. Maltbie stated that there needs to be discussion on an electronic data system to collect, monitor, track and evaluate participants in the program.
      - Mr. Riesenberg announced that the public comment period had been extended due to the revised CCP meeting schedule.
      - John Beiers, County Counsel, stated that if it is the intent of the CCP to extend the public comment period, the Board of Supervisors (BOS) would like to review comments prior to making any decisions or recommendations.
      - John Joy suggested vocational rehabilitation services be added to the list.
Beth Freeman emphasized that there has been debate on whether judges have the authority to modify sentences after it has been imposed—the plan should not rely entirely on the belief that modifiable is allowed.

Supervisor Tissier expressed the importance of avoiding duplication of services, and emphasized the necessity of a coordinated multi-disciplinary effort.

John Beiers suggested that the CCP look at the legal authority as it relates to separation of powers should the body move towards a model of having law enforcement involved in supervision including compliance checks and home visits.

Stephen Kaplan suggested that the CCP clarify the functionality of the single point of entry.

Andy Riesenber polled the CCP on the following questions:
1. Do all supervisees get MDT’s?
2. When do MDT’s start?
3. How often does MDT’s meet?

Chief Forrest recommended that the budget and the LIP be submitted to the Board of Supervisors as separate documents at the same time.

Motion made and seconded. All ayes.

6. Adjournment