San Mateo County Community Corrections Partnership
Thursday, May 25, 2017
3:00 p.m.
400 County Center, Board Chambers, Redwood City 94063

MINUTES

Present Members:

John Keene, Chair
Becky Arredondo
Anne Campbell
David Canepa
Rodina Catalano
Karen Francone
Stephen Kaplan
Susan Manheimer
Louise Rogers
Jennifer Valencia

Absent Members:

Iliana Rodriguez, Human Services Agency
Steve Wagstaffe, District Attorney
Carlos Bolanos, Sherriff

Other Attendees:

Adam Ely, County Counsel
Karen Guidotti, Chief Deputy District Attorney
John Maltbie, County Manager
Mark Robbins, Assistant Sherriff

I. Call to Order
The meeting was called to order at 3:02 p.m.

II. Public Comment
Speakers recognized by the Chair
Martin Fox, Attorney

III. Old Business
Approval of 2/16/17 meeting minutes
Correction: Chief Manheimer noted that the minutes erroneously implies that the ‘Hot Teams’ rollout is a City of San Mateo initiative. However, Hot Teams is actually a county-wide effort. The minutes were approved with this noted correction. M: Manheimer/ S: Francone.
IV. New Business

**Update on AB109 Offender Population:**
John Keene, Chief Probation Officer

- 80% for the quarter and 74% YTD success rate; flash incarcerations heavily utilized.
- Violations were spread out mostly between property and drug offenses; there was a reduction in crimes against persons.
- Technical violations increased but this might be due to high level supervision cases.
- Transfers between San Francisco County and San Mateo County have been working well, however there has been a slight spike in cases from other counties. The department is working to get these cases transferred to their appropriate counties.
- There were approximately 166 new PRCS people returning to San Mateo County, which represents an uptick in cases. This could also increase with Prop 57 cases.
- On average, there are about 15 new cases per month (47 in the last quarter).
- There has been a decrease in the AB109 growth estimate and projections indicate that there will be additional decline in this, and the following year.
- Overall the Community Corrections account will have 50% less budget than originally projected, which is a significant decrease.
- For 2017-18, the budget will be lowered by about $34M.

**Sheriff’s Office**
Mark Robbins, Sheriff’s Office

- Overall, the numbers have not increased in the realignment population; there are approximately 1000 inmates in custody
- The Sheriff’s Office is currently looking into ways to expand transitional housing but the challenge remains with finding people to qualify. There has been a slight increase in clients from 31 in February to 41 in May.
- Alternative sentencing population has increased from 181 to 189.
- Realignment has seen a slight increase since Prop 47 passed. Charges remain the same: drug, ID/vehicle theft remain the top charges for the in custody population. There seems to be no notable correlation with this population and other county-wide crime trends.
- In the case of the AB109 population: 45 inmates were sentenced with 1170H, 15 received split sentences; 30 straight sentences. There were 17 mandatory supervision/revocations cases and 30 parole violations.
• There has been a lot of progress made between Probation and the Health Department with the Stepping Up Initiative and mental health housing units. The program has been running at the Maple Street facility since last August and since January of this year at the McGuire facility.

• Mr. Maltbie congratulated the Sheriff and the Health System for their efforts and commented that the system needs to be changed to ensure that jails are not used as the de-facto place for the mentally ill, so they get the services they need.

• Chief Keene mentioned that Parole contacted Probation, in search of local spaces around the state that can be used reporting centers. He asked if anyone had reached out to the Sheriff’s Office yet. (Mr. Robbins stated that no one has contacted the Sheriff’s Office yet, but he will mention it to the Sheriff.)

• Chief Manheimer asked if flash incarceration is working, and are the recidivism numbers for flash incarcerations being tracked? Chief Manheimer asked if there is a way to track how flashes are doing as a recidivism tool as also asked if there’s a way to track as how many are repeat flashes. (Chief Keene responded that the data is there and can be pulled out.)

• Mr. Maltbie asked if there are one or two primary causes are for flash incarcerations? (David Mihos commented that some flashes are due to the defendant absconding, in which case, a flash incarceration is done in lieu of a formal revocation. In other cases, a flash is for a defendant recidivating for a smaller misdemeanor, or due to a failure to enter/complete treatment after a positive drug test.)

• Mr. Maltbie asked if a defendant could end up serving more time on a flash incarceration than with a revocation. (Chief Keene stated that this is less likely to occur in San Mateo County but it might happen in other counties.)

• Steve Kaplan asked why there seemed be a ‘flip’ in the numbers for split and mandatory sentences for the last quarter of 2016. (Chief Keene noted that this also occurred last year but the reasons are still unclear. However, Probation will look deeper into it.)

Human Services Agency
Jennifer Valencia

• There was a 20% decline in Service Connect intakes in the last quarter, which was consistent with last year’s decline. While there was an increase in intakes in Q2, there was a decrease in Q3. This has happened for the last two fiscal years. This fluctuation could simply be due to the time of year.

• Unified reentry referral numbers have been consistent.

• For benefits and services eligibility, Cal-Fresh applications are the biggest request; seventy-one percent of the applications were approved for those who applied.
• General Assistance applications were consistent and people are opting for employment.
• Medi-Cal: of the 21 applications that were received, there were 11 denials because the applicant was already connected to another case, or was from outside the county. Those who were eligible, were approved.
• There were 79 job placements in Q3 with 43 of them were unsubsidized community placements.
• There was a decrease in the subsidized job placement, which was similar to the intake numbers.
• The highest service needs are: transportation; Cal Fresh; clothing and housing.
• Peer support and engagement services continue to be offered to help connect clients to the community and promote reunification.
• Chief Manheimer asked whether clients who transition from shelters to transitional housing are being tracked. (Amanda Geipe stated that CCO is working with HSA to get clients entered into their database in order to track those outcomes.)

Health System
Stephen Kaplan

• There have been no significant changes; the data presented at the meeting only represented about 4 individuals. Overall, the trends remain similar to what’s been presented historically.
• Telecare contracted for 16 slots and is generally full all the time and in general, there is more of a need for substance use services than mental health services among the clients.
• Chief Manheimer asked if the numbers reflected in the presentation for opioid abuse is consistent. (Louise Rogers stated that they are looking into the issue to identify what types of drugs are being used.)

Confirm 2017 CCP Meeting Schedule
All meetings to be held in the Board Chambers from 3-5:00 p.m.

• August 17 (Canceled)
• October 12

ADJOURNMENT - 3:45 p.m.